Keep everything documented and public

> **by Jacek Tomaszewski** Fullstack Web Developer at <u>Appunite.com</u> Freelancer and Consultant at <u>itom.me</u>

- How do you agree with the product owner on what should be done?
 - Private/Public conversations
 - Designs, mockups, slides, emails
 - "Beta testing -> Feedback -> Fixing stuff" loop
 - You don't (and deliver whatever feels right)

- How do you agree with UX designers on how should it work like?
 - Private conversations
 - Guessing from designs
 - Developer's own intuition
 - "QA -> Developers -> Designers -> Developers -> QA" loop
- How do you agree on what are the expected end user scenarios?
 - Early conversations about the project with the product owner
 - Guessing from designs
 - By testing the app
 - By the real users feedback (and their complaints/bug reports)

- How do you agree with other developers on how should it be done?
 - Private conversations
 - Code review (after it is already done (!))
 - JIRA/Asana task DoD/comments
 - Styleguide
 - You don't (just let it merge)
- When project gets larger, how do you onboard new teammates?
 - Private conversations
 - You don't (let them onboard by themselves)

- How do you explain (to the team / product owner) how does X work? (& why?)
 - Private conversations
 - By referring to the code / the app ("see the code", "see the app")
 - By referring conversations/tickets/emails/slides/designs/docs (often outdated)

- How do you decide on anything asynchronously and/or in a team?
 - Emails
 - Calls
 - Private conversations
 - Public chats (i.e. Slack)
 - Code reviews
 - "Implement -> Deploy -> Test -> Feedback" loop
 - You don't you do it synchronously and/or skip a part of the team that is not currently present



Emails: async, public, but <u>bulky</u>

Private calls/chats: async, not bulky, but private

Public calls: sync, time consuming, hard to do in a bigger group

Public chats: async, flexible, but *disappear in vain over time*

Designs: they are just designs, they <u>don't contain business logic knowledge</u>

Code review, beta testing: it's *too late*

Finding information is a manual process

Case: Person asks a question about something.

What does the team needs to do to give them the answer?

Emails: browse through old emails, find one, forward and comment it

Private calls/chats: find the people from the chat, then force them to repeat it **Public calls**: same as above

Public chats: search through all the chats (or ask somebody for help)

Designs: ask the team to explain them

Code review: browse through past commits/PRs (or ask devs for explanation)

QA testing + feedback + hotfixing phase: browse through project tasks history (or ask the team to explain the changes)

Most of those solutions don't scale

We do CI/CD to scale and automate the development.

Why don't we do that with knowledge transfer?

Anything that needs manual work, will never scale!

+ remember about the bus factor



Public documentation as the solution

- Async
- Public
- Never disappears
- Not bulky (easy to read through ,to be commented and updated)
- Notifies about any changes
- Not noisy (skip observing docs that don't interest you)
- Flexible (have docs in any format, for anything, for only the stuff you need)

Docs or no docs?



Cons of docs

- They can lie to you
 - Duplicated source of truth
 - Outdatedness
- Writing them might be time consuming
- Reading them might be time consuming

How to move to public docs* culture?

* without them being time consuming nor outdated



- 1. Everything must be public
- 2. Everything should be documented
- 3. Nothing can be outdated
- 4. Nothing can be duplicated
- 5. Define, Decide, Document, Implement
- 6. Mix your tools, but always document

Everything must be public

- Avoid private chats
- Notify the interested
 - about new discussions
 - about any decisions
 - about breaking changes
 - about your IT-life changing moments
- Leave space and time for feedback

Everything should be documented

- Anything that needs to be documented, document it ASAP
- You can still define things through chat but remember:
 - Eventually they need to be documented
 - As soon as you reach a consensus, move it to docs

Nothing can be outdated

If there is a doc for something that will soon be changed:

- 1. Add a comment/note "this will soon be changed (to X by Y because Z)"
- 2. Later, resolve the comment and update the docs
 - a. To reflect the current state
 - b. Or, to say "this is TBD"
 - c. Or, to say "those docs are outdated, because Z. Read more: ...")

Nothing can be outdated

- Docs can be up-to-date
- Docs can be TBD
- Docs can be deprecated
- Docs should never be outdated
 - (Unless you want them to lie to you!)

Nothing can be duplicated

- One source of truth:
 - Test cases tool for test cases
 - Design tool for designs
 - Code repo for code
 - Code repo for code styleguides
 - Code repo/Docs for abstraction explanations
 - Docs for terminology
 - Docs for explanation of how things work
 - Docs as a start of the net from which a link to all of the above can be found

Nothing can be duplicated

- If something is duplicated, remove the duplication
 - I.e. link from Jira to confluence
 - Instead of keeping docs in Jira, briefly mention only the DoD ("should work like x"), and for the explanation of X, link to confluence
 - Or, remove the outdated docs and add a comment "This has been changed, more details here: x, we should update those docs soon"
 - Or, remove the outdated docs and add a comment "More information about this can be found here: x"

Nothing can be duplicated

- Have one root knowledge base
 - I.e. for us, everything starts with Confluence
- Link to everywhere from the root
 - Our base doc links to the task board (Jira), designs (Zeplin), test case scenarios (XRay), test URLs and credentials, API docs, Analytics & Accessibility requirements, etc.
- Use other tools when they are better
 - We use Dropbox Paper for fast collaboration on ideas [because Confluence is bad at it ;/]
- Your docs can be just bunch of links
 - Often in Confluence we just link to Slack/GH/JIRA/Dropbox Paper instead of copying stuff over
- Keep other stuff where their place belongs
 - In confluence we store only app, features, business logic, designs documentation
 - Code related decisions we keep in the code
- Link back when you can
 - I.e. link back from the code to the documentation it refers to

Docs don't have to be just one place

- Keep stuff where their place belongs
 - In confluence we store only app, features, business logic, designs documentation
 - Code related decisions are kept in the code
 - Project setup is kept in the project repo
 - Project code styleguide is in the project repo
 - API schema docs are kept in the API docs
 - Implementation details for small/trivial tasks are described in JIRA (as DoD)
 - Only for major features/pages that require deep insight / discussion, their business logic description is defined in Confluence

Define, Decide, Document, Implement

1. **Define**

- a. Problem, context, solutions, their pros & cons
- b. Use a public doc, if it needs to be discussed/reviewed

2. Decide

- a. What and why? Next steps?
- b. Use a public doc, if it needs to be discussed/reviewed

3. Document

- a. Problem -> Possible solutions -> Decision -> Next steps
- b. If problem is major enough, add/link it in your doc root
- c. If decision impacts your existing documents, update them

4. Implement

- a. Link back
- b. Add "Why?" comments
- c. Extend README if needed

Mix your tools, but always document

- You can still use your existing communication tools (Slack, Hangout, etc.)
 - Use whatever feels the best to you
- Always document afterwards:
 - What has been discussed?
 - What has been decided?
 - What are the next steps?

Our recent lessons

Docs take time to master

- Writing nice docs is just like writing nice code
 - Biggest enemy in writing nice commit messages / PRs? Laziness
 - Biggest enemy in writing nice docs? Laziness
- Be flexible think about who's the doc for!
 - Terminology doc: we removed business related terms, and added a lot of ones that are important from dev perspective
 - It saved our asses! And it appeared that the product owner didn't have a lot of stuff defined on his own

Be flexible

- Think about who's the doc for
- Our example: Terminology doc
 - We removed business related terms, and added a lot of ones that are important from dev perspective
 - It cleared up all the confusions
 - It showed that even the product owner doesn't have everything well thought over
 - It showed that the product owner, designers and PMs know stuff, but not exactly... and they all name it differently

Docs help us in writing better code

- Promotes "Interface -> Implementation" workflow
- Helps the dev focus on the essential
 - Good DoD lessens the chance of the dev overcomplicating stuff
- Makes the implementation easy of any given feature
 - If feature is not easy to understand: document it
 - If implementation details are not easy to guess: document them
 - Once implementation details are documented, coding it is a piece of cake

Docs help us in writing better code

- **Gives more time for better code** (by saving time wasted on unneeded code)
- Good for juniors/mids

-

- through async collaboration on implementation docs, they can quickly learn how things should be designed
- Good for software architects
 - It lets anybody question architect's any assumption with "Why?", thus forcing him/her:
 - to have an objective reason for every decision
 - to learn how to explain anything to anybody

Thanks Questions, opinions?